

Amendments to the Drawings:

Applicant has cancelled FIG. 26I and submitted replacement sheets for FIGS. 1-28 which are now FIGS. 1-28E. More specifically: original FIG. 26B is now illustrated on FIGS. 26B-26F; original FIG. 26C is now illustrated on FIGS. 26G-26J; original FIG. 26D is now illustrated on FIGS. 26K-26L; original FIG. 26E is now illustrated on FIGS. 26M-26P; original FIG. 26F is now illustrated on FIGS. 26Q-26T; original FIG. 26G is now illustrated on FIGS. 26U-26Y; and original FIG. 26H is now illustrated on FIGS. 26Z, 26AA, and 26BB. No new matter has been added by way of this amendment.

REMARKS

Applicant notes with great appreciation the Office's indication that claims 1-24, 26-57, 59-90, and 92-111 are allowed over the prior art of record. Applicant has amended claims 1, 34, and 67 as set forth above to correct what appear to be inadvertent clerical errors in the Examiner's Amendment along with amendments to the drawings and specification. No new matter has been added by way of these amendments. In view of all of the foregoing, early issuance of the pending application as a patent is hereby earnestly solicited.

The Office has objected to the drawings asserting they appear to have cut off, crossed out, and obscured data. Accordingly, Applicant has cancelled FIG. 26I and submitted replacement sheets for FIGS. 1-28 which are now FIGS. 1-28E as set forth above and in the attached replacement sheets. No new matter has been added by way of these amendments. Additionally, Applicant has amended the specification as set forth above to conform to the new formal drawings. In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the Office is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the objection to the drawings.

Applicant notes with great appreciation the Office's effort in entering the amendments to the claims in the Examiner's Amendment as set forth in the Proposed Amendment submitted to the Office on March 28, 2008, and agreed to by the Office and Applicant which was attached to the Notice of Allowance. Unfortunately, there are inadvertent clerical errors in transposing Applicant's proposed amendment to the Examiner's Amendment. More specifically, it appears a simple clerical mistake occurred and the exact language added to claim 1 was also amended into claims 34 and 67 and these claims failed to cancel language to make them readable in view of these amendments. The Office and Applicant had agreed to amend the claims as set forth in the Proposed Amendment which included the amendment of claim 34 to include the language from dependent claim 58 not from claim 25 and claim 67 to include the language from dependent claim 91 not from claim 25. Additionally, a clerical error occurred in the Office's amendment of claim 67 which requested a deletion of "funds" and insert --fund--, however the claim did not recite "funds", but recited "fund" and should have been amended to recite --funds--. Accordingly, the Office is respectfully requested to consider and enter the amendments to the claims noted above to correct these minor clerical errors.

In view of all of the foregoing, early issuance of the pending application as a patent is hereby earnestly solicited

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 7, 2008

/Gunnar G. Leinberg/
Gunnar G. Leinberg
Registration No. 35,584

NIXON PEABODY LLP
1100 Clinton Square
Rochester, New York 14603-1051
Telephone: (585) 263-1014
Facsimile: (585) 263-1600